

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 3446 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.3.2016

Sh. R. K. Sehgal and another

.. Petitioners

v.

Sh. P. K. Chaudhery and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Karan Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

**Mr. B. R. Mahajan, Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr. D. S. Nalwa, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.**

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

This order will dispose of a bunch of petitions bearing COCP Nos. 3446 to 3449 of 2013, 3101 of 2014, 36 and 620 of 2015, as the issue involved in all the petitions is common. However, the facts have been taken from COCP No. 3446 of 2013.

Non-compliance of the order dated 21.12.2012 passed by this court has been alleged.

The case pertains to fixation of pension of retirees. The interpretation of the Rules by the State to fix the pension is sought to be disputed by the petitioners.

On the face of it, it cannot be opined that a contempt is made out as there is wilful violation. The Rules and instructions regarding fixation of pension for the purpose are required to be gone into in detail,

which cannot be subject-matter of contempt jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the contempt petitions are dismissed. However, the same will not debar the petitioners from availing of their appropriate remedy for adjudication of any grievance, if survives.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

11.3.2016
mk