

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

COCP No. 398 of 2015

Date of Decision: December 05, 2016

Harsharanjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ravi Kant Chopra & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. K.S. Boparai, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the respondents makes a submission that all amount due towards the petitioner, in compliance with the order passed by this Court, stands paid to him.

Counsel for the petitioner says that he has no instruction in this regard. However, in the light of the statement made by the counsel for the respondents and accepting it to be correct, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case the assertion as made by the counsel for the respondents is found to be incorrect.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged subject to above.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

December 05, 2016

Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No