

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

**COCp-3298 of 2013
Decided on 21.9.2016**

Court on its own motion

--Petitioner

Vs.

Kanwar Pal

--Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Arun Yadav, Advocate, for the respondent/contemner

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, the respondent/contemner is present in Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that the respondent has filed his reply dated 15.8.2015 along-with copy of the compromise dated 14.8.2015 in which it is alleged that there were four cases pending between the parties which have already been settled and the respondent has delivered possession of the shop in question to both Manoj and Vinod sons of Ved Pal. The compromise which is attached with this petition is not incidently signed by Manoj and is signed by Vinod only. In this regard, he has referred to the affidavit of Vinod which is attached at Annexure A-2 in which he has averred that his brother Manoj has given him power to enter into a compromise with the contemner.

After hearing learned counsel for the respondent/contemner and

taking into consideration the afore-stated facts and circumstances, I am of the considered opinion that the order passed by the Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rewari has been duly complied with.

Insofar as the question of delay is concerned, the respondent has tendered unqualified apology and stated that he is an old man.

Keeping in view the fact that the respondent is an old man and that he has tendered unconditional apology, I do not intend to proceed against him under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for the delay which has been caused by him in complying with the order passed by this Court.

Hence this petition is disposed of/.

Rule is discharged.

21.9.2016
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:

Yes/No.

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No