

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

COCP No.3179 of 2015

Date of Decision: September 22, 2016

Raj Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

K.B. Nanda

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Bal, Senior Advocate
with Mr. A.D.S. Bal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

An additional affidavit of Shri Vinay Madaan, Assistant Divisional Finance Manager/Pension, has been filed in Court today. Copy supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

On hearing the counsel for the parties, this Court is of the opinion that the matter cannot be decided in the contempt petition as it appears to be a dispute with regard to the revised pension which the petitioner would be entitled to.

Counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present contempt petition at this stage with liberty to file an appropriate application in CWP No.15955 of 2013 for appropriate relief.

Disposed of as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

September 22, 2016

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No