

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 2919 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.07.2016**

Renu Sharma

... Petitioner

versus

Vijay Rathi

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH.

Present: Mr. M. S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rattan Singh Longia, Advocate for the respondent.

JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Rattan Singh Longia, Advocate has appeared and filed power of attorney on behalf of the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the fact that the order passed by this Court has been duly complied with, however, he states that there is an inordinate delay on the part of the respondent in complying with the order passed by this Court within the time stipulated.

In the light of the explanation, which has been given in the order dated 28.06.2016 Annexure R2, the assertion of the counsel for the petitioner cannot be accepted in toto, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail of her remedy in accordance with law.

The present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

July 11, 2016

kv