

Sr. No.205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCF No. 2871 of 2015

Date of decision:31.08.2016

Bhupinder Pal Sharma

.....Petitioner

versus

Dr. Roshan Sunkaria

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Harbhajan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harmanjit Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Punjab.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

State counsel submits that the order passed by this Court dated 26.05.2015 has been duly complied with as the direction was only to consider and decide the representation. It is also submitted that the payments due to the petitioner has already been made as is mentioned in para No. 5 of the reply vide order dated 6.10.2015(Annexure R-1/1T).

However, counsel for the petitioner submits that he is now not able to contact his client.

Be that as it may, in view of the statement made by the State counsel, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, in case the statement made by the State counsel is found to be incorrect, counsel for the petitioner may file an application for revival of the petition.

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**

31st August, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No