

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCF No.2918 of 2013

Date of Decision:05.09.2016

Gurdev Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Mohammed Tayyab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present : Mr. B.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, D.A.G. Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for an adjournment to file a counter affidavit to the reply which has been filed by the respondents but the said request is declined as one opportunity has already been granted to the petitioner to do the needful vide order dated 23.02.2016.

As per the reply which has been filed, the amount due to the petitioner has been released to him and the details of the calculation has been mentioned therein. In case the petitioner is not satisfied with the payment which he has received, he may file a detailed representation to the Deputy Commissioner, Faridkot, stating therein his claim within a period of eight weeks. In case such a representation is filed, the same shall be considered and decided by passing a speaking order within a further period of six weeks. In case further amount is found due, the same shall be released to the petitioner within a period of four weeks thereafter.

The present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

05.09.2016
rajeev

(Augustine George Masih)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No