

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCF No. 2837 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.04.2016

Mohd. Usman

.....Petitioner

Versus

Keshni Anand Arora and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL.

Present: Mr. Shiv Charan Bhola, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu,
Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Short reply by way of affidavit of R.S. Kharb, Director Elementary Education, Haryana, Panchkula, on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, dated 28.4.2016, filed today in Court is taken on record.

This Court vide order dated 23.2.2015 directed consideration of the case of the petitioner in terms of 1994 Rules. The claim of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 27.4.2016 on the ground that the petitioner is not eligible even as per the aforesaid Rules, hence, he cannot be offered appointment.

For delay in compliance, unconditional apology has been tendered. While accepting the same, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail of his appropriate remedy against the order dated 27.4.2016 passed by the Director Elementary Education, Haryana, Panchkula.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**