

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

COCP No.2812 of 2015

Date of Decision: September 01, 2016

Aruna Chattley and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Raghubinder Chattley

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondent as also the respondent is present.

Counsel for the respondent states that the complaint which has been filed against the respondent stands allowed on 29.03.2016 which is under challenge by both the parties in an appeal. He further states that the contempt was a result of an interim order passed by the Court which has merged with the final order and in any case, the amount due to the petitioners till 29.03.2016 stands paid.

Perusal of the record indicates that none has been appearing on behalf of the petitioners in the present contempt petition. On 10.03.2016 and thereafter on 28.04.2016, none had appeared for the petitioners nor has anyone chosen to appear for the petitioners today. It appears that the petitioners are not interested in pursuing the present contempt petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

September 01, 2016

Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No