

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 2778 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 25, 2016

Subeg Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Harkirat Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Bhriku Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Reply by way of affidavit of the respondent has been filed in Court, taken on record, copy supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

It has been stated by the counsel for the petitioner that the amount of ₹ 2,25,000/- has been paid to the petitioner as per the compromise. He asserts that there is a delay on the part of the respondent in complying with the order passed by this Court, which was passed upon the compromise entered into between the parties.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous. Disposed of as such. For the period of delay in payment, petitioner may avail of his remedy in accordance with law, if permissible.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

October 25, 2016

Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No