

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 27 of 2016

Date of Decision: December 13, 2016

Balak Ram & others

...Petitioners

Versus

Shri Sarvjit Singh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Ms. Ritika Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Pranav Grover, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

On perusal of the reply which has been filed by the respondents, it is apparent that the claim of the petitioner has been considered and decided in compliance with the orders passed by this Court. The claim of petitioner No.3, namely, Prem son of Shri Sarupa, resident of Jhuggi No.3185, Palsora Colony, U.T. Chandigarh, has been accepted and the claim of the other petitioners has been rejected.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. The petitioners, other than petitioner No.3, may avail of their remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

December 13, 2016

Harish

**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**