

Sr.No.208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

COCP-2742-2013(O&M)

Decided on: 21.01.2016

Pawan Kumar and anotherPetitioners

vs.

Krishan Mohan and othersRespondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.Sudeep Mahajan, Addl. A. G. Haryana.
Mr. Arvind Rajotia, Advocate for respondent no.3.

**

Mahesh Grover, J

The order of the writ Court mandated as follows:-

“ In view of the above, the present writ petition is allowed. Petitioners are held entitled to regularization of their services w.e.f the date, the services of the juniors have been regularized. In the light of the information, as contained in Annexure P-9, they are held entitled to be regularized from the date their junior Sanjha Ram son of Guru Dayal stands regularized i.e 01.02.1996. Consequential benefits be granted to the petitioners in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.”

Even though it is noticed in the aforesaid direction that services of Sanjha Ram were regularized in 1996 and, therefore, petitioner's services should also be regularized w.e.f that date as Sanjha Raj was junior to him but it has been stated in the reply that the date of Sanjha Ram's regularization was altered from 1996 to 2003 and benefit has also been given to the petitioner in the same terms alongwith consequential benefits.

No counter affidavit has been filed by the learned counsel for the

In this view of the matter, I am of the opinion that sufficient compliance of the order of the Writ Court has been made.

In view of the above, present petition has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly with liberty to the petitioner to impugn the order so passed in case of any dissatisfaction.

21.01.2016
mamta

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE