

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.2634 of 2014

Date of Decision :12.2.2016

Sadbhavna College of Education, Raikot

....Petitioner

Versus

Arun Grover and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr.Surender Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Subhash Ahuja, Advocate
for the respondents.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The only mandate of the writ court was to decide the representation, pursuant to which the respondents have granted a consideration and made payment to the petitioner which is not denied by the learned counsel for the petitioner. However, he states that interest component should have been given to him.

On due consideration, I am of the view that this would be a cause of grievance to the petitioner which he can agitate in appropriate proceedings. However, the instant petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

12.2.2016
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE