

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCF No.2632 of 2015
Date of Decision: 05.02.2016

Narender Kumar and others

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

T.C. Gupta and others

. . . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.R.K.S. Brar, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

While referring to para 2 of the affidavit, it is submitted by learned counsel for the State that the benefit of bunching increments has been restored to the petitioners and arrears have also been paid, therefore, this petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Since learned counsel for the petitioners is not present, therefore, in case the statement made learned counsel for the State is found incorrect, the petitioners may file an application for its revival.

05.02.2016

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE