

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.26 of 2016

Date of Decision: December 01, 2016

Raj Kumar Chaudhary

....Petitioner

Versus

Siddharath

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

In compliance with the order dated 18.11.2016, reply has been filed by way of an affidavit of Sh. Sidharth, Estate Officer, Haryana Urban Development Authority (HUDA), Rewari, explaining therein, the reasons as to why the possession of Plot No.354-P, Sector-1, Part-2, Narnaul, could not be handed over to the petitioner on 29.11.2016. The facts are denied by the petitioner, however, as per the photographs which have been appended along with the affidavit, the demarcation of the plot has been done and even the required marks have been put with regard to the area of 10 marlas earmarked for the said plot.

Counsel for the respondent states that the original allotment letter dated 29.11.2016 and physical possession of the plot shall be handed over to the petitioner tomorrow i.e. 02.12.2016 at 2.00 pm at the site.

In the light of the statement made by counsel for the respondent, the present contempt petition is disposed of subject to above.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

December 01, 2016

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No