

Sr. No. 233

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 2576 of 2015

Date of decision:21.07.2016

Satinder Pal Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Balbir Singh

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Om Pal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Punjab.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the respondent has taken the following stand in
paragraph Nos. 4 and 5 of the reply:-

“4. That the matter was reconsidered and speaking order No23/14-2013 Voc(3) dated 17-03-2016 has been passed in consonance with the judgment rendered in CWP No. 22036 of 2012. The department has decided that as the department has already admitted in view of clarification given by Govt. that a regular diploma of 3 years equates to the 4 years part time diploma and it is further decided that in peculiar circumstances of the case, the petitioner is found to be eligible for promotion with a condition that this promotion will not be considered as precedent and no other claim of similarly situated person will be considered on the basis of this case.

5. That the process of promotion from work experience teacher to Vocational Master is under active consideration of the department. The

2016.07.22 15:24
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

petitioner comes into the promotion zone and agenda has been forwarded to the government vide letter no KW 5/46-2015/Voc(3) dated 18-03-2016 and departmental promotion committee.”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that further direction may be issued to the respondents to expedite the matter as the petitioner is going to retire in January, 2017.

Keeping in view the prayer made by counsel for the petitioner and the facts and circumstances of the case, the Secretary Education (Secondary), Government of Punjab is directed to look into the matter and take the decision as early as possibly, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receiving certified copy of this order or on intimation given by State counsel to whom a copy of this order be supplied.

Bench Secretary of this Court is directed to supply a copy of this order to State counsel under his signatures for compliance.

Disposed of.

21st July, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**