

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
225**

COCP No. 2565 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.09.2016

Surjit Singh and others

...PETITIONERS

VS.

Vijay Kumar Chopra and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. P.K.Goklaney, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Chaudhary, Additional Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondents has referred to paras 6 and 7 of the reply filed by the respondents, according to which, in continuation of the speaking order dated 19.03.2015, the revised speaking order has been issued on 25.05.2016 (Annexure R-3) and accordingly, due payments have been made to the petitioners. It has further been stated that the petitioners have been paid all the financial benefits by the respective Drawing and Disbursing Officer as per Annexure R-4 on 29.04.2016 to 02.05.2016 after receipt of approval from the Government of Punjab vide letter dated 28.04.2016. He, on the basis of the said affidavit, submits that the orders passed by this Court have been duly complied with.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the due payments, which the petitioners are entitled to, have, till date, not been released. He

further states that the wrong affidavit has been filed.

This contention of the counsel for the petitioners cannot be accepted as this affidavit was filed by the respondents on 26.05.2016 and copy thereof was received on behalf of the counsel for the petitioners on 28.05.2016 but no replication or counter affidavit has been filed. The oral contention of the counsel for the petitioners cannot be accepted. The statement, as given by the respondents in the affidavit, is taken as correct.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioners withdraws the above statement and apologises for the same. He prays for liberty to the petitioners to submit their representation to project the unpaid benefits to the respondents within a period of one week.

Apology and prayer of the counsel for the petitioner is accepted. In case such a representation is made, the same shall be considered and decision taken thereon by the competent authority within a further period of eight weeks.

The present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

September 15, 2016
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No