

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCF No.2417 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 16, 2016

Munish Kapur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Makhan Lal and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI

Present: Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Alok Jain, Advocate with
Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondents states that the possession of the demised premises has been handed over to the petitioner on 10.11.2016, which fact is not disputed by counsel for the petitioner. He, however, states that the premises has been damaged and the possession was to be handed over on or before 31.08.2016 and therefore, there has been delay on his part for which he would file an appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as per the statement made by learned counsel for the parties with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

December 16, 2016

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI)

ps-I

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No