

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

202

COCN No. 2126 of 2014

Date of Decision: December 07, 2016

Himmat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Jagdish Singh @ Billu & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

It has been stated by the counsel for the respondents that the respondents are not in possession of the land in dispute and the averments made in the petition are also not correct that the respondents have made an effort to take possession of the land from the petitioner.

In the light of the statement of the counsel for the respondents, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

December 07, 2016

Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No