

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCF No.2126 of 2015

Date of Decision : 10.2.2016

Surjit Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Tejinder Pal Singh Sandhu and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr. S.S.Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Punjab.

...

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The mandate of the writ court was to consider the case of the petitioners for parole, which consideration was to be granted within a period of three months. Although the initial consideration resulted in denial but on invoking the powers of this Court under the Contempt of Courts Act, the respondents have passed an order releasing the petitioners on parole for a period of six weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that this is not in entire compliance of the order of the writ court which also mandated a consideration in terms of an order dated 4.2.2014.

A perusal of the order of the writ court does indeed show that a consideration was also warranted in terms of the order dated 4.2.2014, which, however, has not been placed on record depriving the court of possibility of understanding the remaining grievance of the petitioner.

In any eventuality, there has been substantial compliance of the order of the writ court when the petitioners were released on parole. If the consideration has not been granted in terms of any order, the petitioners would have a grievance to agitate before the court in appropriate proceedings.

Petition stands disposed of.

10.2.2016
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE