

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.2087 of 2015

Date of Decision :12.1.2016

State of Punjab and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Sanjeev Jain

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

....

Present: Mr. M.C.Berry, Addl.Advocate General,Punjab.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners alleges that the respondent has willfully violated the undertaking given to this Court when the order dated 15.11.2006 was passed.

A perusal of the said order would indicate that it was only an information given by the respondent regarding his case being considered under the revised policy . The respondent had stated that rent had already been deposited by him and formal execution of the agreement would take some time, which persuaded this court to grant some protection till the time the agreement was executed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would contend that the respondent has not deposited the rent in terms of the policy

despite notices issued to him. If that is so, then the respondent would be liable for appropriate procedure leading to eviction in case of such violation but it would certainly not be a case fit to warrant interference under the contempt jurisdiction. The petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to take remedies in accordance with law if the terms of the revised policy have been violated.

12.1.2016
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE