

**Sr. No. 223 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 203 of 2016
Date of decision:1.08.2016**

Vinod Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Satvir Sharma

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. S.S.Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the respondent submits that the necessary instructions have been issued, therefore, this petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, in case the statement made by counsel for respondent is found to be incorrect, counsel for the petitioner may file an application for revival of the petition.

The petitioner may, if so advised, file representation for release of his salary which is alleged to have been withheld by the respondent because of the pendency of this contempt petition. If such a representation is filed, respondent shall decide the same within a period of one month.

1st August, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No