

Sr. No.214

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 1942 of 2015
Date of decision:08.09.2016**

Balbir Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Pankaj Aggarwal and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. R.K.Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K.Sheoran, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the parties are ad idem that the principal amount as well as the interest has been paid but counsel for the petitioner has disputed the calculation of interest by the respondents.

Be that as it may. In view of the above, the present petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, the petitioner may file a representation to the respondents in respect of the calculation of the interest. In case such a representation is made, the same shall be decided by the respondents (concerned person) within a period of two months after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

8th September, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No