

**106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.1838 of 2016

Date of Decision: September 19, 2016

Vikas Sihag

.....Petitioner

Versus

R.J. Khanderao and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Kumar Setia, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Saurabh Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Saurabh Goel, Advocate on the basis of the e-mail, which has been received by him, states that the Central Board of Secondary Education had, after correction in the result of the petitioner, conveyed the said aspect to respondent No.2 but as the counselling had come to an end by that time, the petitioner's claim, as per his merit for admission to NIT, Kurukshetra could not be considered. He, however, contends that the same could not be done, as there was no specific direction issued by this Court in that regard.

From the perusal of the record, the pleadings and the facts narrated, it is apparent that there was no fault on the part of the petitioner and the delay, if any, is attributable to the respondent-Board, who had not complied with the order dated 15.07.2016, passed by this Court, within the time stipulated therein for which the petitioner cannot be penalized.

A direction, is thus, issued to respondent No.2-National Institute of Technology to now consider the claim of the petitioner in case, he is found eligible and entitled to as per his merit, for admission to NIT, Kurukshetra and if there is a seat available, within a period of 10 days from today.

It has been brought to the notice of the Court that the petitioner has, in pursuance to the earlier counselling, joined at NIT, Hamirpur and if ultimately the petitioner is found entitled to admission to NIT Kurukshetra and the same is permissible as per the rules and regulations, the possibility of transferring his admission from NIT Hamirpur to NIT Kurukshetra be also considered and, if possible, the same be given effect to as well.

With the above observations, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* to learned counsel for the parties under the signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

September 19, 2016

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No