

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COC P No. 1773 of 2016

Date of Decision: September 21, 2016

Ajay & another

...Petitioners

Versus

Dayanand Mandola

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI H

Present: Mr. C.S. Singhal, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Parkash Chahar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI H, J. (Oral):

Reply by way of affidavit of the Superintendent, District Jail, Rohtak, has been filed in Court, taken on record. As per the said affidavit, the representation of the petitioners has been considered and rejected vide order dated 25.01.2016 (Annexure R-II).

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to avail of their remedy in accordance with law, against the order dated 25.01.2016 (Annexure R-II).

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI H)
JUDGE**

September 21, 2016

Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No