

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

COCF No.1760 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 24, 2016

Mohinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Ms. Rajdeep Kaur, PCS

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of affidavit to the affidavit dated 26.02.2016 has been filed in Court, which is taken on record. A perusal of the same would show that neither the petitioners have mentioned the area which was acquired of the petitioners nor the amount of compensation that they were entitled to as per the award and the enhanced amount. In the absence of any details in this regard, the bald assertion of the petitioners to the effect that the compensation amount which the respondent was required to deposit as per the order dated 12.03.2015 passed by this Court, cannot be accepted. In the light of the averments made in para 4 of the affidavit dated 26.02.2016, this Court is of the considered view that the respondent has complied with the order passed by this Court rendering the present contempt petition infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

Liberty is granted to the petitioners to file an appropriate application in case the assertions made in the affidavit dated 26.02.2016 are found to be incorrect.

October 24, 2016

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No