

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108

COCP No. 1742 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 11.08.2016

M/s Sat Kartar Plywood Industries

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Mr. Anirudh Tiwari, IAS and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

PRESENT: Mr. Sudesh Sahi, Advocate,
for Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondents, on instructions from Sh. Vishav Bandhu, Deputy Director, Department of Industries and Commerce, Punjab, states that the interest, which was to be paid to the petitioner, has also been paid to the petitioner yesterday i.e. 10.08.2016.

Counsel for the petitioner states that he has no instructions in this regard.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the respondents, on instructions, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an appropriate application for revival of the contempt petition, in case the statement made by the counsel for the respondents is found to be incorrect.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

August 11, 2016

pj

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No