

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1734 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.01.2016

Manjit Singh

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

Sh. K.M. Pandurang and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.R.K.S. Brar, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The writ petition filed by the petitioner was disposed of on 5.5.2015 directing the Deputy Commissioner, Jind to consider his representation and pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law, within a period of one month. Since, the requisite order was not passed on the representation within stipulated period, therefore, the petitioner has filed the present contempt petition in which after notice, respondent has decided the representation by passing a speaking order dated 22.6.2015 (Annexure P-3). It is also alleged in the reply filed by the respondent that the order passed by this Court has been duly complied with as the representation has been decided though it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that it is not within the time prescribed by this Court.

Be that as it may, the fact remains that the representation has been decided one way or the other and in case the petitioner is not satisfied with the decision dated 22.6.2015, he still has the remedy to assail the validity of the said order, in accordance with law but insofar as the present petition is concerned, it has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

12.01.2016*Vivek***(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)**
JUDGEVIVEK PAHWA
2016.01.13 15:22
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh