

210/2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.1716 of 2015

Date of Decision : September 22, 2016

Jagir Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Ashok Kumar Gupta, Secretary to Government of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI

Present : Ms. Jigyasa Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court alleging non-compliance of the order passed by this Court in ***CWP No.24631 of 2014*** titled as ***“Jagir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others”*** decided on 02.12.2014 wherein directions were issued to the respondent to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order.

As per the reply which has been filed by the respondent in the Court today, the representation of the petitioners has been duly considered and decided by passing a speaking order on 04.03.2016 (Annexure R-1/1). The claim of petitioners No.1 to 4 has been accepted and they have been granted the ACP benefits whereas, the claim of petitioner No.5 has been considered and rejected vide order dated 04.03.2016 (Annexure R-1/1).

In the light of the above, directions are issued to the respondent to release the consequential benefits to petitioners No.1 to 4, if already not granted, within a period of six weeks from today.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the interest has not been paid to the petitioners, which they are entitled to. If that be so, let a specific representation be filed by them claiming the said benefits which shall be considered and decided by the respondent by passing a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date of submission of such representations. In case, the claim of the petitioners for grant of interest is accepted, the consequential benefits be released to them within further period of four weeks. *Qua* petitioner No.5 whose claim has been rejected, he may avail of his remedy in accordance with law.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

September 22, 2016
Dpr

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No