

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

COCN No.1659 of 2016

Date of decision: November 23, 2016

Vijay Kumar & another

...Petitioners

Versus

Vikas Gupta

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for the respondent.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioners allege violation of order dated 19.12.2015, passed by the writ court in CWP No.74 of 2015. Operative part thereof reads as under:-

“3. The petitioners seek the allotment in a particular sector. The Chief Administrator shall specify the alternate plots. The petitioners shall be entitled to make a demand/representation in writing to the Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula in respect of the plots that they desire. The Chief Administrator shall take a final decision regarding the identity of the alternate plots after considering the same and if necessary after giving the petitioners an opportunity of being heard in this regard.

4. The petitions are disposed of in the above terms and with a direction that the order be complied with by 15.04.2016 in accordance with law.”

Mr. Deepak Balyan, learned counsel appearing for the respondent submits that offer of possession has been given to the three

petitioners in the alternate sites in the same sector. He has produced the letters in this regard. Same are taken on record as Marks 'A', 'B' and 'C' respectively. He further submits that in case the petitioners complete formalities within one month from today, they shall be delivered possession within two weeks thereof.

In view of above, no cause of action survives. Petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

November 23, 2016
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No