

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**COCN No. 1495 of 2014**

**Date of Decision: September 16, 2016**

**Nirmala Gautam & others**

...Petitioners

Versus

**Amar Nath**

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

Present: Mr. Ishan Bhardwaj, Advocate for  
Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S. Gagrha, Advocate  
for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):**

Counsel for the respondent states that the present contempt petition would not survive in the light of the fact that the litigation between the parties have attained finality with the satisfaction of the decree which has been passed in favour of the respondent-plaintiff on 24.08.2015.

Counsel for the petitioners states that he has no instructions in this regard.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the respondent, the present contempt petition stands disposed of as having been satisfied.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

**( AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH )  
JUDGE**

**September 16, 2016**

*Harish*

**Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable: Yes/No**