

**COCP-1534-2013(O&M)**

**BHANA VS SUBASH AND ORS**

Present: Mr.Yogesh Saini, Advocate  
for Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Advocate for the non applicant-petitioner.  
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the applicants-respondents.

\*\*\*

**CM-6455-CII-2014 and CM-15799-CII-2016**

For the reasons stated in the applications and for the reasons that contempt petition does not bring out any cause for intervention, both the CMs are allowed and the orders dated 04.03.2014 and 08.02.2016 are recalled and the applicant is liberated of the portion of the orders by which costs of Rs.20,000/- and Rs.30,000/- respectively were imposed.

**COCP-1534-2013(O&M)**

The contempt petition is totally vague.

The petitioner alleges dispossession without even disclosing to the Court as to on which date he stood dispossessed in violation of the order of this Court dated 21.02.2013 and 19.03.2013. Perusal of the said orders show that he was protected from dispossession and in the order dated 29.04.2013 the petitioner had admitted to his possession but there is nothing to suggest as to on which date he stood dispossessed in violation of the orders of this Court which granted protection in the first place.

No ground to initiate proceedings under Contempt of Courts Act has been made out.

Dismissed.

August 12, 2016  
**mamta**

**(Mahesh Grover)**  
**Judge**