

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. NO.1437 OF 2016

DATE OF DECISION: JULY 04, 2016

Ram Singh and others

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Bulipal and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. D. S. Matya, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this contempt petition is for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for violating the order dated 03.11.2014.

It has been stated by counsel for the petitioners that for the action of respondent Nos.1 to 3, contempt proceedings have already been initiated in C.O.C.P. No.539 of 2016 (Ram Singh and another Vs. Ramu), wherein notice has been issued. He further contends that after initiation of contempt proceedings, respondent Nos.1 to 3 have given a statement to the Commissioner of Police that they would not further alienate the property. However, respondent Nos.4 to 9 have now further alienated the property and, therefore, committed contempt of the order dated 03.11.2014 passed by this Court.

This contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is totally misconceived. Respondent Nos.4 to 9 are not party to the Regular Second Appeal. Further, the statement, if any, which has been given by them, is before the Commissioner of Police, who is not a Court. Further contempt proceedings have already been initiated against respondent Nos.1 to 3 in C.O.C.P. No.539 of 2016, which is pending consideration.

Therefore, the contempt petition, as filed by the petitioners, is devoid of merit and the same stands dismissed.

July 04, 2016
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE