

Sr. No.211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 1405 of 2016

Date of decision:13.12.2016

Ajit Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Dr. Inder Krishan Bhat and another

.....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the respondent.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment.

On the other hand, counsel for the respondents has submitted
that the payment has been made, therefore, this petition has become
infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, in case, it is found that the statement made by
counsel for the respondents is incorrect then petitioner is at liberty to file an
application for revival of this petition.

**[Rakesh Kumar Jain]
Judge**

13th December, 2016

Shivani Kaushik

Whether Speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No