

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.139 of 2016

Date of Decision :19.1.2016

Ashok Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Dharam Pal Gupta

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present: Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

MAHESH GROVER.J.

The only mandate by the writ court was to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

The petitioner does not dispute that the Officers' Committee has granted him consideration resulting in suggestions to the competent authorities to grant the prayer of the petitioner. But the petitioner states that this would not be a decision by the Committee, and therefore, appropriate proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act be initiated against the respondent.

On due consideration of the matter, I am of the view that once a Committee has granted consideration, there would be sufficient compliance of the order of the writ court. If the

petitioner is aggrieved of the non enforcement of orders of the Committee, he would have an appropriate remedy in law which he would be at liberty to avail of, as no case under the Contempt of Courts act is made out.

Disposed of.

19.1.2016
dss

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE