

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 1260 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 22, 2016

Bhim Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

C. Roul & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sukhdev Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Reply by way of short affidavit of Balbir Singh, Director of Public Instruction (Secondary Education), Punjab, filed in Court which is taken on record.

I have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the judgment passed by the Supreme Court which clearly states that 3% of the cadre strength is to be reserved for the disabled persons and on computing the said quota, the claim of the petitioner has been considered in the cadre strength and the quota having been accomplished, the petitioner could not be promoted.

In view of the above, the contempt petition against the respondents does not survive and the same, therefore, stands dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

July 22, 2016

Harish