

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
217/A**

**C.M. No. 4270-CII of 2015 &
COCP No. 1306 of 2013**

Date of Decision: 21.07.2016

Naranjan Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Sh. Mandeep Singh and another

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate,
for PRTC-respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J (ORAL)

C.M. No. 4270-CII of 2015

Prayer in this application is for revival of the contempt petition which has been disposed of vide order dated 16.10.2014 passed by this Court.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. The order dated 16.10.2014 passed by this Court is recalled and the main contempt petition is taken on Board for disposal.

COCP No. 1306 of 2013

Counsel for the respondents, on the basis of an affidavit dated 20.10.2015 filed in the application for revival of the contempt petition, states that the order passed by this Court in the writ petition preferred by the petitioner has been duly complied with. He further states that the arrears, as

per the entitlement of the petitioner, have also been released to him.

Counsel for the applicant/petitioner states that there are certain other grievances, which the petitioner has made in the present petition, which included that the petitioner was reinstated in service and, therefore, the period from 03.05.1976 to 08.09.1980 is also to be counted for the purpose of giving pensionary benefits. He states that he would make a detailed representation and claim qua the said period to be counted for the benefit of revised pensionary benefits, which may be considered and decided by the respondents.

Counsel for the respondents states that in case the said representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents within a period of three months from the date of submission of such representation by passing a speaking order.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to make a representation, as has been stated by the counsel for the petitioner, within a period of four weeks to the respondent. If such a representation is made, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents within a period of three months from the date of submission of such representation. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a further period of two weeks. In case the claim is accepted or any entitlement is found of the petitioner, the said amount be released to the petitioner within a further period of two months.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

July 21, 2016