

COCN No. 1092 of 2015

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 1092 of 2015

Date of Decision: September 02, 2016

Rajinder Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

Versus

P. Raghvindera Rao & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Sonu Giri, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (Oral):

Short reply by way of affidavit of Shri Tarun Kumar Pawaria Estate Officer-II, HUDA, Gurgaon, has been filed in Court, which is taken on record. As per the said affidavit, after the dismissal of the Special Leave Petition preferred by the HUDA, the claim of the petitioner has been considered by the Screening Committee and has come to a conclusion that they are eligible for allotment of two marlas' plot. Speaking order passed by the respondents is appended as Annexure R-2 and a New Settlement Policy has been framed which is appended as Annexure R-3. Petitioner may apply as per the said policy and avail the benefit as per his entitlement.

Counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment.

COCF No. 1092 of 2015

In view of the reply which has been filed and keeping in view the directions issued by this Court in CWP No.5952 of 2012, contempt of which is alleged, this Court is of the considered view that the order stands complied with.

In case the petitioner is aggrieved of the action taken by the respondents, he may avail of his remedy, in accordance with law.

The present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

September 02, 2016

Harish

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No