

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 106 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.04.2016

Balbir Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sukhdev Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL.

Present: Mr. R.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJESH BINDAL,J.

In response to the notice issued, respondent No. 2 is present in person in Court.

The grievance of the petitioner is that her matrimonial dispute with respondent No.1 was settled and in terms thereof, all the litigations initiated by both the parties and their family members, were to be withdrawn. The FIR got lodged by the petitioner and the complaint filed by respondent No.2, were disposed of as compromised. However, the complaint bearing No. 187/2007/2012, pending in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, was not withdrawn by respondent No.2.

Respondent No.2 who is present in person in Court fairly stated that as the petitioner and respondent No.1, have already settled their dispute, he also does not wish to press the complaint filed by him

and the same may also be treated as withdrawn in view of the

settlement of the matrimonial dispute between his son and the daughter-in-law.

In view of the fair stand taken by respondent No.2, who is present in person in Court, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous. Copy of the order be sent to the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, for placing on the file of complaint titled as Giani Sulakhan Singh versus Suba Singh and others, for disposing of the same in terms of the statement made by respondent No.2 in this Court. Copy of the statement be also sent to the Court below.

07.04.2016
reema

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE