

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1064 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.08.2016

Bhag Singh

.... Petitioner

versus

Vishwajeet Khanna & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Punjab.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

The writ petition filed by the petitioner was disposed of on 15.12.2014 (Annexure P-1) after quashing the order impugned therein, directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization of his service from the date when some of similarly situated persons was regularized. It was further directed that the entire exercise be concluded with the release of consequential benefits to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible but not later than four months from the date of receipt of the order.

It is contended that though a part of the order has been complied with as services of the petitioner were regularized w.e.f. 25.10.2011 i.e. from the date when the services of similarly situated employees were regularized but he was not paid the consequential benefits.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has submitted that a speaking order has been passed on 18.01.2016 by Divisional Forest Officer, Dasuya, as per which the petitioner has not been held

entitled to the retiral benefits as the petitioner was relieved from service on 31.03.2012.

Be that as it may, in the given facts and circumstances of the case, this issue cannot be decided in the contempt petition as the petitioner is required to challenge the order dated 04.12.2015 by way of another writ petition.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order dated 04.12.2015 if so advised.

26.08.2016
sonia

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge