

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CEA No. 92 of 2011 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 3.3.2016

M/s Anuj Enterprises, Faridabad

....Appellant.

Versus

Commissioner of Central Excise, Panchkula

...Respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG.**

PRESENT: Mr. Jagmohan Bansal, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sukhdev Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

For orders, see **CEA No. 95 of 2011 (M/s Vijay Enterprises, Faridabad v. Commissioner of Central Excise, Panchkula).**

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

March 3, 2016
gbs

**(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE**