

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CESR No.2 of 2012

Date of Decision:-29.02.2016

Commissioner of Central Excise, Ludhiana

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Brij Printing Works, Amritsar

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- None.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL J.(Oral)

On the last date of hearing no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Accordingly, the notice was issued to the senior standing counsel for the Union of India for today.

2. Even today, despite notice, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the applicant and as per office report, the counsel was informed about the date fixed in the case.

3. In view of the above, the reference is dismissed for non-prosecution and returned unanswered.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

February 29, 2016
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE