

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CACP No.7 of 2014

Date of Decision: 29.07.2016

K.K. KHANDELWAL

....Petitioner

Versus

JAGBIR SINGH AND ORS

....Respondents

CACP No.8 of 2014

HARMAIL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

JAGBIR SINGH AND ORS

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M. JEYAPPAUL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present: Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. A.G, Haryana.

Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate

M. JEYAPPAUL, J.(Oral)

Heard the submissions made on either side.

A direction was issued in CWP Nos. 2023, 3428, 18202, 18245, 18461, 18489, 21144 and 21146 of 2011 that the pay of the respondents therein shall be refixed and arrears of 38 months prior to the date of the filing of the writ petitions be paid to them within one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

The above directions were not complied with by the appellants herein. The respondents herein have now preferred contempt petitions aggrieved by disobedience of the directions issued

by learned Single Judge.

Despite sufficient opportunities granted by the Court which dealt with the subject contempt, the appellants had not complied with the above directions. Therefore, the Court which dealt with the contempt filed by the respondents herein found the appellants guilty of contempt.

Now, it is brought to our notice by the counsel appearing on either side that the directions issued by learned Single Judge in the aforesaid writ petitions to pay the arrears of technical pay for 38 months prior to the date of filing of the writ petitions had been complied with.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner pleads that this Court may take a magnanimous view inasmuch as the directions issued in these writ petitions had been complied with.

As the appellants have complied with the directions issued in the above writ petitions and have further tendered an unqualified apology, we are pleased to accept the apology and consequently set aside the impugned order passed by the Learned Single Judge in the contempt petitions filed by the respondent herein. Accordingly, both the contempt petitions stand disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

[M. JEYAPPAUL]
JUDGE

July 29, 2016
rashmi

[SNEH PRASHAR]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned yes/no

Whether reportable?	yes/no
---------------------	--------