

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Arbitration Case No. 100 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.02.2016

Narula Buildwell Pvt. Ltd.

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.

Present : Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Minakshi Goyal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

S.J.VAZIFDAR A.C.J. (Oral)

This is an application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for appointment of sole Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes and differences between the parties.

2. The respondents have no objection to the disputes and differences being referred to arbitration in accordance with clause 25(v) of the agreement between the parties. Under the agreement, Superintending Engineer of the circle concerned in the Public Works Department, Building & Roads Branch, acting as such at the time of reference, is to be the Arbitrator.

3. In the circumstances, Superintending Engineer as mentioned in clause 25(v) of the agreement is appointed as sole Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the disputes and differences between the parties. The name of the Superintending Engineer shall be communicated by the respondents to the petitioner.

4. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

05.02.2016

ravinder'

(S.J.VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE