

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.911 of 2016

ORDER:

-

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 3 in Crime No.204 of 2015 on the file of Station House Officer, Anantapuram Rural Police Station, Anantapuram District, registered under Sections 420 and 506 IPC.

-

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor representing the State.

A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioners are accused Nos.1 to 3 and the second respondent is the *de facto* complainant. As per the allegations made in the complaint, on 06.07.2014 the second respondent entered into an agreement with the petitioners to purchase an extent of Ac.0.60 cents of vacant land in Survey No.136/1 and 137 of Kurugunta village, Anantapuram Rural Mandal, Ananthapuram District at the rate of Rs.53,000/- per one cent and paid an amount of Rs.10,00,000/- towards advance. It is further alleged that the second respondent paid in total an amount of Rs.17,00,000/-. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners are postponing the execution of sale deed with an ulterior motive to cheat the second respondent. Whether the petitioners have committed the alleged offence or not will come to light during the course of investigation only.

It is needless to say that the court has to take into consideration the allegations made in the complaint while exercising inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C., in order

to quash the criminal proceedings at the initial stage of investigation. The court is not justified in embarking upon an enquiry to ascertain the truthfulness, genuineness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint. It is a settled principle of law that the inherent power should not be exercised to stifle a legitimate investigation. If the allegations made in the complaint do not constitute the offence much less the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioners, then this court can quash the proceedings in order to prevent abuse of process of court thereby to secure the ends of justice.

I have carefully perused the material available on record in order to ascertain whether the allegations made in the complaint are patently absurd and inherently improbable thereby to quash the proceedings. The material available on record is *prima facie* sufficient to investigate into the matter in order to ascertain the truthfulness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principle enunciated in ***R.P.KAPOOR v. STATE OF PUNJAB***^[1], ***STATE OF HARYANA v. BHAJAN LAL***^[2], ***V.Y.JOSE V STATE OF GURAJAT***^[3] ***AND TEEJA DEVI v. STATE OF RAJASTHAN***^[4], I am of the considered view that this is not a fit case to quash the criminal proceedings at the threshold.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the concerned Station House Officer may be directed not to arrest the petitioners till completion of investigation.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the

case, the Station House Officer, Anantapur Rural Police Station, Anantapur District, is hereby directed not to arrest the petitioners/accused Nos.1 to 3 in Crime No.204 of 2015 till completion of investigation.

With the above direction, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

-
T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date:28.01.2016

Rns

[\[1\]](#) AIR 1960 SC 866

[\[2\]](#) AIR 1992 SC 604

[\[3\]](#) (2009) 3 SCC 78

[\[4\]](#) 2015 (1) ACR 564 (SC)