

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN

Second Appeal No.574 of 2016

Judgment:

The second appeal arises out of concurrent findings of both the Courts below, in a suit for recovery of arrears of rent.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

3. The suit filed by the respondents 1 and 2 herein, was for recovery of arrears of rent to the extent of Rs.6,840/-. The suit was decreed by a judgment and decree dated 28-3-1990.

The same was also confirmed on appeal. The entire defence of the appellant herein, who was the 2nd defendant in the suit, revolved only on a question of fact, namely whether the sale of the property in favour of the plaintiffs was made known to them or not.

Both the Courts have found that there were arrears. Therefore, no question of law, much less any substantial question of law, arises for consideration in the appeal.

4. Moreover, this appeal was dismissed as against the respondents 1 and 2 on 18-01-2016 itself. They were the successful plaintiffs in the suit. Therefore, the second appeal is dismissed. The miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this second appeal shall stand closed. No costs.

V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J.

05th August, 2016.

Ak

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN

-
-
-
-
-

[illegible]