

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.SHYAM PRASAD

WA.No.752 of 2016

Date:29.8.2016

Between:

M.Kusuma Kumari,
D/o M.Reddeppa and 98 others.

..... Appellants

And.

The State of A.P., repled by its
Principal Secretary, Revenue
(Endowments) Department,
Hyderabad and another.

....Respondents

Counsel for the appellants: Mr. J.Sudheer

Counsel for respondent No.1: GP for Endowments (AP)

Counsel for respondent No.2: Mr. A.K.Jayprakash Rao

The Court made the following:

JUDGMENT: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)*

This Writ Appeal arises out of an order of a learned single Judge, whereby he has admitted Writ Petition No.11233 of 2016 and directed the same to be posted "for hearing" after Dasara vacation, 2016.

Mr. J.Sudheer, learned counsel for the appellants, submitted that the learned single Judge instead of admitting the Writ Petition ought to have heard the same.

We are afraid, we cannot interfere with the discretion exercised by the learned single Judge.

As the learned single Judge has not passed any order against the interests of the appellants, we decline to interfere with the order under appeal. However, since the application filed by the appellants for interim relief has not been considered and disposed of, liberty is given to the learned counsel for the appellants to move the learned single Judge for passing appropriate orders on such application. If such request is made, we are sure, the learned single Judge will positively consider the same.

Subject to the liberty given to the learned counsel for the appellants as above, the Writ Appeal is dismissed.

As a sequel to dismissal of the Writ Appeal,
WAMP.Nos.1872 and 1873 of 2016 filed by the appellants for
interim relief are dismissed as infructuous.

JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

JUSTICE G.SHYAM PRASAD

29th August 2016

DR

