

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.3020 of 2016

BETWEEN

Narra Venkat Ramaiah.

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 10.02.2016

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

- | | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1. | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | No |
| 2. | Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | No |
| 3. | Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | No |

-
-
-
-

ORDER:

Petitioner complains of inaction of respondents 3 and 4 in issuing death certificate of one Late Vipperla Appaiah S/o. Late Seetha Ramaiah, R/o. Thallampadu village, Khammam Rural Mandal, Khammam District. The aforesaid person is stated to have died on 22.02.1970 and petitioner seeks certified copy of the death certificate for production in the Court proceedings in CC.No.360 of 2012 on the file of JFCM (Special Mobile), Khammam. Petitioner made two applications dated 12.04.2012 and 07.12.2013 before respondents

3 and 4 and alleging that no action is taken, the present writ petition is filed.

2. Learned Government Pleader states that it is the third respondent, who shall issue necessary certificate on the report of the fourth respondent. However, it is to be verified whether the application of the petitioner is in the prescribed proforma.

3. Since inaction is complained by the petitioner, whether it is the third respondent or the fourth respondent, they need to look into the request of the petitioner, examine it and communicate an appropriate order or decision to the petitioner. Inaction by respondents 3 and 4, in spite of petitioner making two applications, cannot, therefore, be approved. If the fourth respondent is competent, he shall examine the application of the petitioner and shall send an appropriate communication to the petitioner and if, for any reason, the third respondent is the competent authority, the fourth respondent shall send an appropriate report to the third respondent to enable the third respondent to take an appropriate decision and communicate the same to the petitioner expeditiously.

The writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

February 10, 2016
Note: Furnish C.C. by 15.02.2016.
(B/o) DSK