

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN**

**AND**

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G. SHYAM PRASAD**

**WRIT PETITION No. 41813 of 2016**

**ORDER:** (*Per VRS,J*)

The petitioner has come up with the above writ petition, challenging an order passed by the A. P. Administrative Tribunal, dismissing her original application for non-prosecution.

2. Heard Mr. Shaik Karimulla, learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. As against the order dismissing her original application for non-prosecution, the petitioner should file only an application for restoration before the Tribunal. Only if such an application is dismissed, she may come up with a writ petition. Therefore, leaving liberty to the petitioner to move the Tribunal, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions if any pending in the writ petition shall stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

---


**V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J**

---

**G. SHYAM PRASAD, J**

1<sup>st</sup> December, 2016

cbs



**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN ✓**  
**AND**  
**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G. SHYAM PRASAD**



Writ Petition No. 41813 of 2016  
(Per VRS,J)

1<sup>st</sup> December, 2016

cbs