

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C. PRAVEEN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No.4639 OF 2016

ORDER:

____ Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the 3rd respondent.

2. ____ The present writ petition came to be filed seeking issuance of writ of *mandamus* to declare notice vide Rc.No.398/Nekkallu (6), dated 30.12.2015 issued by the 3rd respondent under Rule 8 (9) of the Andhra Pradesh Capital City (Formation and Implementation) Rules, 2015 (for short, 'the Rules') to the petitioner, as arbitrary and illegal and consequently, to set aside the same and to allow the petitioner to be entitled to all benefits of Land Pooling Scheme as per the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority Act, 2014.

3. ____ A perusal of the material shows that on 30.12.2015, the 3rd respondent issued notice, dated 30.12.2015, to the petitioner asking her to attend before the competent authority on or before 12.01.2016 and to submit documentary evidence in support of her claim, otherwise the matter will be referred to the competent Civil Court under Rule 8 (9) of the Rules. ____

4. ____ Though various grounds are raised in the writ petition, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer seeking a direction to the 3rd respondent to pass appropriate orders basing on the explanation submitted by the petitioner before him.

5. ____ Learned Standing Counsel for the 3rd respondent did not dispute the same.

6. ____ Without going into the merits of the case and having regard to the

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

WRIT PETITION No.4639 OF 2016

Dt: 12.02.2016

YVL